	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/918,508	KAKIMOTO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cherie M. Woodward	1647
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>RCE-FAOM</u>		
(1) <u>Cherie M. Woodward</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Tu Phan-Kerr</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8/28/07 & 10/5/07	Time: <u>5:30pm</u>	
	nt's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 112, first paragraph, scope of enablement and written description issues.		
Claims discussed: Claim 8		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Claim 8 was discussed regarding written description issues. No agreement was reached. Applicant proposed claim amendments (attached) which were not accepted by the Examiner for the reasons set forth in the Office Action (attached).		
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate red 	e examiner will provide a writt	en summary of the substance
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	by the examiner appears in l	Part II above.
Cherofulboll	(Applicants Decrees the C	ignature if appropriate)
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant)	'Applicant's Representative S	ignature – ir appropriate)